

REMARKS

Claims 7, 10, 13 and 17 are pending and under consideration in the above-identified application. Claims 1-6, 8-9 and 11-12 were previously cancelled.

In the Final Office Action of May 12, 2011, claims 7, 10, 13 and 17 were only rejected in view of one informality and an erroneous reading of the claims. Otherwise the claims were deemed allowable.

With this amendment, claims 7 and 17 are amended.

I. 35 U.S.C. § 112 Indefiniteness Rejection of Claims

Claims 7, 10, 13 and 17 were as indefinite.

Regarding the rejection directed to the “density” of the negative electrode material, Claims 7 and 17 have been amended to correctly recite that it is the “active material” that has the requisite density, as suggested in the Office Action. Accordingly this rejection has been overcome.

Regarding the rejection directed to how the “outer end of the wound electrode” is welded, that rejection is erroneous. There is not such recitation in the claims. Rather, the “sealing” limitation read: **inserting and sealing said wound electrodes into a film pack**. Thus the rejection is based on language not present in the claims, and is in error.

Nevertheless, to clarify this limitation, the limitation has been amended to read:

inserting said wound electrodes into a film pack; [and]

welding an outermost end of the film pack to seal the wound electrodes therein.

As such, the requested change has been made in a manner appropriate to the claim.

II. Conclusion

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that all claims are clearly allowable over the cited prior art, and respectfully requests early and favorable notification to that effect.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 12, 2011

By: /David R. Metzger/
David R. Metzger
Registration No. 32,919
SNR Denton, US LLP
P.O. Box 061080
Wacker Drive Station, Willis Tower
Chicago, Illinois 60606-1080
(312) 876-8000

12545898\V-1